

## Supplemental Files Tables 1-3

### *Configuration of Latent Classes*

***Latent Class Analysis 1 – Non-Innovator.*** The LCA 1 group (non-innovator) was slightly greater than average in factor 1 (risk aversion) mean of 1.33 (95% CI [-5.01, 7.67]). This group under performed in factor 2 (willingness to try new things) mean of -7.27 (95% CI [-12.07,-2.47]), factor 3 (creativity and originality) mean of -3.36 (95% CI [-3.95, -2.78]), and factor 4 (being challenged) mean of -2.3 (95% CI [-2.81, -1.79]) (Supplemental Table 1).

***Latent Class Analysis 2 – Uncreative.*** The LCA 2 group (uncreative) was slightly less than average in factor 1 (risk aversion) mean of -0.57 (95% CI [-1.09, -0.06]). This group was average in factor 2 (willingness to try new things) mean of -0.37 (95% CI [-0.84, 0.1]), lower than average in factor 3 (creativity and originality) mean of -1.99 (95% CI [-2.31, -1.67]) and average in factor 4 (being challenged) mean of -0.04 (95% CI [-0.29, 0.21]) (Supplemental Table 1).

***Latent Class Analysis 3 – Optimal Innovator.*** The LCA 3 group (optimal innovator) had an average score in all four factors; factor 1 (risk aversion) mean is -0.01 (95% CI [0.1, 0.07]). Factor 2 (willingness to try new things) mean of 0.03 (95% CI [-0.04, 0.1]). Factor 3 (creativity and originality) mean of 0.13 (95% CI [0.06, 0.21]). Factor 4 (being challenged) mean of 0.29 (95% CI [0.24, 0.34]) (Supplemental Table 1).

***Latent Class Analysis 4 – Wary Innovator.*** The LCA 4 (wary innovator) had an average score for factor 1 (risk aversion) mean of 0.32 (95% CI [0.06, 0.59]), factor 2 (willingness to try new things) mean of 0.4 (95% CI [0.21, 0.58]) and factor 3 (creativity and originality) mean of 0.29 (95% CI [0.08, 0.51]). This group was lower than average in factor 4 (being challenged) mean of -2.24 (95% CI [-2.46, -2.03]) (Supplemental Table 1).

### **Supplemental Table 1.**

#### ***Latent Class Analysis (LCA) with Confidence Intervals.***

LCA 1 (Non-innovator) n=2	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Margi n	Position	Lower 95% CI	Lower 95% CI
------------------------------	------	----------------	----------------	------------	----------	-----------------	--------------------

Factor 1 (risk aversion)	1.33	-5.01	7.67	6.34	0.5	6.34	6.34
Factor 2 (willingness to try new things)	-7.27	-12.07	-2.47	4.8	1.5	4.8	4.8
Factor 3 (creativity and originality)	-3.36	-3.95	-2.78	0.59	2.5	0.59	0.58
Factor 4 being challenged)	-2.3	-2.81	-1.79	0.51	3.5	0.51	0.51

<b>LCA 2 (Uncreative)</b> n=20	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Lower Bound</b>	<b>Upper Bound</b>	<b>Margin</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Lower 95% CI</b>	<b>Lower 95% CI</b>
Factor 1 (risk aversion)	-0.57	-1.09	-0.06	0.52	0.5	0.52	0.51
Factor 2 (willingness to try new things)	-0.37	-0.84	0.1	0.47	1.5	0.47	0.47
Factor 3 (creativity and originality)	-1.99	-2.31	-1.67	0.32	2.5	0.32	0.32
Factor 4 being challenged).	-0.04	-0.29	0.21	0.25	3.5	0.25	0.25

<b>LCA 3 (Optimal Innovator)</b> n=274	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Lower Bound</b>	<b>Upper Bound</b>	<b>Margin</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Lower 95% CI</b>	<b>Lower 95% CI</b>
Factor 1 (risk aversion)	-0.01	-0.11	0.1	0.11	0.5	0.1	0.11

Factor 2 (willingness to try new things)	0.03	-0.04	0.1	0.07	1.5	0.07	0.07
Factor 3 (creativity and originality)	0.13	0.06	0.21	0.08	2.5	0.07	0.08
Factor 4 being challenged).	0.29	0.24	0.34	0.05	3.5	0.05	0.05

<b>LCA 4 (Wary Innovator)</b> n=33	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Lower Bound</b>	<b>Upper Bound</b>	<b>Margin</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Lower 95% CI</b>	<b>Lower 95% CI</b>
Factor 1 (risk aversion)	0.32	0.06	0.59	0.27	0.5	0.26	0.27
Factor 2 (willingness to try new things)	0.4	0.21	0.58	0.19	1.5	0.19	0.18
Factor 3 (creativity and originality)	0.29	0.08	0.51	0.22	2.5	0.21	0.22
Factor 4 being challenged).	-2.24	-2.46	-2/03	0.22	3.5	0.21	0.21

**Supplemental Table 2.*****Latent Class Analysis (LCA) Groups and Individual Characteristics.***

A one-way ANOVA compared the innovativeness scores of the LCA groups, demonstrating differences between the LCA groups ( $p < 0.001$ ). LCA group 3 had the greatest innovativeness score ( $121.94 \pm 9.20$ ) compared to the other LCA groups: LCA 1 ( $61.00 \pm 7.07$ ), LCA 2 ( $104.85 \pm 12.44$ ) and LCA 4 ( $119.42 \pm 8.89$ ). Using a Tukey's test for multiple comparisons we found a statistically significant difference in the innovativeness scores between LCA 3 vs 2 ( $p < 0.001$ ) and LCA 4 vs 3 ( $p < 0.001$ ).

	<b>Total Population , n=329</b>	<b>LCA 1 n=2 (non- innovator )</b>	<b>LCA 2 n=20 (uncreati ve)</b>	<b>LCA 3<sup>a,c</sup> n=274 (optimal innovator)</b>	<b>LCA 4<sup>b,d</sup> n=33 (wary innovator)</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>p- value*</b>
Age, yrs (m $\pm$ sd), n=295	47.17 $\pm$ 12.18	62.00 $\pm$ 0.00	43.56 $\pm$ 12.69	47.42 $\pm$ 12.04	46.87 $\pm$ 12.06	0.43	2, 291	0.43
Gender, n (%), n=305								
Female	264 (86.6)	0 (0.00)	17 (89.47)	222 (86.96)	27 (84.38)	0.72	4	0.95
Male	33 (10.8)	1 (100.00)	2 (10.53)	26 (10.28)	4 (12.50)			
Other	8 (2.6)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	7 (2.77)	1 (3.12)			
Race, n=305								
Asian	22 (7.2)	0 (0.00)	2 (9.09)	17 (6.69)	3 (9.68)	4.19	6	0.65
Black	36 (11.8)	0 (0.00)	3 (15.79)	30 (11.81)	3 (9.68)			

Other	13 (4.3)	1 (100.00)	14 (73.68)	197 (77.56)	22 (70.97)			
White	234 (76.7)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	10 (3.94)	3 (9.68)			
Ethnicity, n=306								
Hispanic or Latino	15 (4.9)	0 (0.00)	1 (5.26)	13 (5.22)	1 (3.23)	0.23	2	0.89
Highest Level of Education Completed in Nursing, n=306								
Associate Degree	0 (0.0)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	2.79	6	0.83
Baccalaureate Degree	52 (17.0)	0 (0.00)	5 (26.32)	40 (15.75)	7 (21.88)			
Master’s Degree	122 (39.9)	0 (0.00)	8 (42.11)	103 (40.55)	11 (34.38)			
Doctor of Nursing Practice	45 (14.7)	0 (0.00)	2 (10.53)	39 (15.35)	4 (12.50)			
PhD or other Doctorate	87 (28.4)	1 (100.00)	4 (21.05)	72 (28.35)	10 (31.25)			
Licensure, n=306								
RN	254 (83.0)	1 (100.00)	15 (78.95)	212 (83.46)	26 (81.25)	0.33	2	0.85
Other	52 (16.99)	0 (0.00)	4 (21.05)	42 (16.54)	6 (18.75)			
From What Type of Program Did You Receive Your Initial, n=301 Nursing Education								
Associate Degree Program	49 (16.3)	1 (100.00)	3 (15.79)	39 (15.66)	6 (18.75)	3.15	6	0.79
Baccalaureate Degree	202 (67.1)	0 (0.00)	15 (78.95)	165 (66.27)	22 (68.75)			
Diploma Program	23 (7.6)	0 (0.00)	1 (5.26)	20 (8.03)	2 (6.25)			

Graduate Program	27 (9.0)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	25 (10.04)	2 (6.25)			
Current Position, n=300								
Staff Nurse	30 (10.0)	0 (0.00)	2 (10.53)	24 (9.64)	0 (0.00)	16.3 9	18	0.57
Nurse Practitioner	19 (6.3)	0 (0.00)	1 (5.26)	16 (6.43)	2 (6.45)			
Clinical Nurse Specialist	12 (4.0)	0 (0.00)	2 (10.53)	9 (3.61)	1 (3.23)			
Nurse Anesthetist	1 (0.3)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	1 (0.40)	0 (0.00)			
Nurse Midwife	2 (0.7)	1 (100.00)	0 (0.00)	1 (0.40)	0 (0.00)			
Nurse Manager	19 (6.3)	0 (0.00)	1 (5.26)	16 (6.43)	2 (6.45)			
Senior Nursing Administrator	25 (8.3)	0 (0.00)	2 (10.53)	18 (7.23)	5 (16.13)			
Faculty member/Researcher	67 (22.3)	0 (0.00)	3 (15.79)	61 (24.50)	3 (9.68)			
Director of Innovation	15 (5.0)	0 (0.00)	1 (5.26)	12 (4.82)	2 (6.45)			
Other	110 (36.7)	0 (0.00)	7 (36.84)	91 (36.55)	12 (38.71)			
Are you satisfied with your current position as a nurse, n=305								
Yes	222 (73.3)	0 (0.00)	16 (84.21)	181 (72.11)	25 (78.12)	1.72	2	0.42
No	81 (26.7)	1 (100.00)	3 (15.79)	70 (21.88)	7 (21.88)			
Are you satisfied with your current institution, n=305								
Yes								

No	223 (73.6) 80 (26.4)	0 (0.00) 1 (100.00)	17 (89.47) 2 (10.53)	180 (71.71) 71 (28.29)	26 (81.25) 6 (18.75)	3.90	2	0.14
Do you feel supported by your	Yes							
Nurse Colleagues, n=296	257 (86.8) 217 (75.4)	0 (0.00) 0 (0.00)	17 (89.47) 18 (94.74)	215 (87.04) 177 (73.75)	25 (86.21) 22 (78.57)	0.12 4.35	2 2	0.94 0.11
Nurse Manager, n=288	190 (63.8)	0 (0.00)	13 (68.42)	157 (63.31)	20 (66.67)	0.31	2	0.86
Executive Leadership, n=298								
How many years have you worked in Nursing? n=297	21.5±12.7	30.00±0.00	21.66±13.03	21.65±12.71	19.75±12.53	0.03	2, 293	0.74
How many years have you worked as a clinician? n=294	14.6±11.0	15.00±0.00	13.45±10.86	14.79±11.24	13.88±9.58	1.18	2, 290	0.82
How many years have you worked at your current institution? n=296	8.9±9.0	11.00±0.00	7.63±8.62	8.57±8.58	12.59±11.55	5.34	2, 292	0.06
Do you work in a hospital?, n=300								
Yes	129 (43.0)	1 (100.00)	9 (47.37)	102 (40.80)	17 (56.67)	2.93	2	0.23
No	171 (57.0)	0 (0.00)	10 (52.63)	148 (59.20)	13 (43.33)			
Employment Status, n=301								
Employed in healthcare	260 (86.4)	1 (100.00)	15 (78.95)	218 (88.98)	26 (92.86)	2.31	2	0.32
Employed, not in healthcare	33 (11.0)	0 (0.00)	4 (21.05)	27 (11.02)	2 (7.14)			

How many innovation events have you participated in the last 1 year? n=321								
1								
2-3	111 (34.6)	0 (0.00)	14 (73.68)	89 (33.21)	8 (25.00)	15.5	6	0.02
4-5	119 (37.1)	1 (50.00)	3 (15.79)	99 (36.94)	119 (37.07)	9		
6 or more	46 (14.3)	1 (50.00)	1 (5.26)	40 (14.93)	46 (14.33)			
	45 (14.0)	0 (0.00)	1 (5.26)	40 (14.93)	45 (14.02)			
Have you been exposed to human-centered design/design thinking in the past 1 year:	Yes							
Activities, n=325	209 (64.3)	1 (50.00)	7 (36.48)	181 (66.79)	20 (60.61)	7.18	2	0.03
Lectures, n=324	224 (69.1)	1 (50.00)	13 (68.42)	192 (71.11)	18 (54.44)	3.80	2	0.15
Other, n=308	227 (73.7)	0 (0.00)	2 (11.76)	70 (27.13)	9 (28.12)	2.00	2	0.37
Projects, n=324	199 (61.4)	1 (50.00)	9 (47.37)	169 (62.59)	20 (60.61)	1.75	2	0.42
Resources, n=324	211 (65.1)	1 (50.00)	10 (52.63)	178 (65.93)	22 (66.67)	1.42	2	0.49
Workshops, n=323	174 (53.9)	0 (0.00)	7 (36.84)	152 (56.51)	15 (45.45)	3.80	2	0.14
Diffusion Categories, n=329								
Innovator	9 (2.74)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	9 (100.00)	0 (0.00)	24.9	4	<0.001
Early Adopter	201 (61.09)	0 (0.00)	3 (1.49)	179 (89.05)	19 (9.45)	4		**
Early Majority	117 (35.56)	0 (0.00)	17 (14.53)	86 (73.50)	14 (11.97)			



Late Majority	2 (0.61)	2 (100.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)			
Laggard	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)			
Innovativeness score, n=329	120.3±11.2	61.00±7.07	104.85±12.44	121.94±9.20	119.42±8.89	4.03	2, 324	<0.001

\* LCA 1 was removed from bivariate analysis due to small population size (n=2); \*\*Overall, there is a difference in the means but no difference in pairwise analysis; Tukey Test found differences in “How many innovation events have you participated in the last 1 year” a, LCA 3 vs 4 (p=0.02); b, LCA 4 vs 2 (0.03); Tukey Test found differences in Innovativeness Scores and LCA groups: c, LCA 3 vs 2 (p=<0.001); d, LCA 4 vs 2 (p=<0.001).

### Supplemental Table 3.

#### *Latent Class Analysis (LCA) Groups and Organizational Characteristics.*

An ANOVA of the means for each LCA group found statistically significant differences for willingness to implement innovation methodologies in their day-to-day work (LCA 1:  $3.79 \pm 1.03$  vs LCA 2:  $4.43 \pm 0.72$  vs LCA 3:  $4.63 \pm 0.55$ ,  $p=0.001$ ) and how often they are able to implement innovation methodologies in their day-to-day work (LCA 1:  $3.05 \pm 0.91$  vs LCA 2:  $3.40 \pm 0.82$  vs LCA 3:  $3.73 \pm 0.91$ ,  $p=0.02$ ) (Table 4.7). Using a Tukey's test for multiple comparisons we found a statistically significant difference in the willingness to implement methodologies in day-to-day work between LCA 3 vs 2 ( $p=0.001$ ) and LCA 4 vs 2 ( $p<0.001$ ).

	<b>Total Population , n=329</b>	<b>LCA 1* (non- innovato r)</b>	<b>LCA 2 (uncreativ e)</b>	<b>LCA 3<sup>a</sup> (optimal innovator)</b>	<b>LCA 4<sup>b</sup> (wary innovato r)</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>p- value</b>
Type of Institution, n=(%), n=298								
Rural (3)	20 (6.7)	0 (0.00)	1 (5.26)	16 (6.45)	3 (10.00)	2.46	6	0.87
Suburban (1)	61 (20.5)	1 (100.00)	6 (31.58)	49 (19.76)	5 (16.67)			
Urban (2)	193 (64.8)	0 (0.00)	11 (57.89)	162 (65.32)	20 (66.67)			
Other (4)	24 (8.1)	0 (0.00)	1 (5.26)	21 (8.47)	2 (6.67)			
Magnet Status, n=298								
Yes	126 (42.3)	0 (0.00)	9 (47.37)	102 (41.13)	15 (50.00)	4.60	4	0.33
No	56 (18.8)	1 (100.00)	6 (31.58)	44 (17.74)	5 (16.67)			
Not Applicable	116 (38.9)	0 (0.00)	4 (21.05)	102 (41.13)				

					10 (33.33)			
Do you consider your institution to be innovative, n=297								
Yes	212 (71.4)	0 (0.00)	14 (73.68)	175 (70.85)	23 (76.67)	0.49	2	0.78
No	85 (28.6)	1 (100.00)	5 (26.32)	72 (29.15)	7 (23.33)			
Does your institution support innovative thinking by its nurses? n=296								
Yes	219 (74.0)	0 (0.00)	13 (68.42)	185 (75.20)	21 (70.00)	0.74	2	0.69
No	77 (26.0)	1 (100.00)	6 (31.58)	61 (24.80)	9 (30.00)			
Do you get protected time away from the bedside to work on other projects? n=294								
Yes	56 (19.0)	0 (0.00)	6 (31.58)	45 (18.44)	5 (16.67)	5.06	4	0.28
No	79 (26.9)	1 (100.00)	4 (21.05)	62 (25.41)	12 (40.00)			
Not applicable	159 (54.1)	0 (0.00)	9 (47.37)	137 (56.15)	13 (43.33)			

How willing are you to implement innovation methodologies in your day-to-day work? n=323	4.41±0.74	4.50±0.71	3.79±1.03	4.43±0.72	4.63±0.55	1.29	2	0.001
How often are you able implement innovation methodologies in your day-to-day work? n=324	3.41±0.85	2.50±2.12	3.05±0.91	3.40±0.8	3.73±0.91	0.96	2	0.02* *
How often do you encounter obstacles that impede you from being innovative in your day-to-day work? n=323	3.53±0.78	4.00±1.41	3.68±0.67	3.52±0.78	3.50±0.80	0.766	2	0.65
How satisfied are you with the following aspects of your job: Opportunities for advancement, n=296	2.91±1.0	1.00±0.00	3.00±0.94	2.93±1.03	2.70±0.99	0.32	2	0.45
How satisfied are you with the following aspects of your job: Opportunities to be creative, n=298	3.00±1.05	1.00±0.00	3.16±0.77	3.00±1.05	2.9±1.16	3.45	2	0.70
How satisfied are you with the following aspects of your job:	2.97±1.05	1.00±0.00	3.11±0.81	2.96±1.06	3.00±1.10	2.19	2	0.85

Opportunities to be innovative, n=300								
How satisfied are you with the following aspects of your job: Opportunities to lead, n=298	3.13±0.97	1.00±0.0 0	3.37±0.68	3.12±0.98	3.13±0.9 6	3.55	2	0.55
How satisfied are you with the following aspects of your job: Time away from clinical responsibilities, n=285	2.81±1.15	1.00±0.0 0	3.39±1.04	2.77±1.14	2.79±1,1 3	0.28	2	0.09
How satisfied are you with the following aspects of your job: Work schedule, n=299	3.39±0.82	1.00±0.0 0	3.47±0.70	3.41±0.80	3.19±0.9 5	2.39	2	0.34
How satisfied are you with the following aspects of your job: Choice of nursing as a career, n=305	3.60±0.67	4.00±0.0 0	3.68±0.58	3.60±0.68	3.53±0.6 7	0.67	2	0.73
How would you rate:  Relationship with co-workers, n=298	3.38±0.73	4.00±0.0 0	3.37±0.68	3.39±0.72	3.23±0.7 7	0.37	2	0.53

How would you rate: Adequacy of resources, n=298	3.04±0.84	1.00±0.00	3.16±0.69	3.03±0.85	3.13±0.73	2.27	2	0.67
How would you rate: Support from supervisors, n=296	3.10±0.97	1.00±0.00	3.52±0.61	3.09±0.97	2.96±0.98	5.55	2	0.12
How would you rate: Overall work environment, n=298	3.18±0.78	1.00±0.00	3.37±0.60	3.19±0.78	3.03±0.77	2.08	2	0.32
Has your institution offered any of the following:	Yes							
HCD/DT education, n=283	107 (37.8)	0 (0.00)	5 (26.32)	90 (38.14)	12 (44.44)	1.58	2	0.45
HCD/DT lectures, n=283	102 (36.0)	0 (0.00)	4 (21.05)	88 (37.29)	10 (37.04)	2.02	2	0.37
HCD/DT resources, n=282	119 (42.2)	0 (0.00)	5 (26.32)	101 (42.80)	13 (48/15)	2.39	2	0.30
HCD/DT workshops, n=283	100 (35.3)	0 (0.00)	4 (21.05)	86 (36.44)	10 (37.04)	1.85	2	0.40
Innovation education, n=284	166 (58.5)	0 (0.00)	8 (42.11)	141 (59.49)	13 (48/15)	2.42	2	0.30
Innovation lectures, n=284	156 (54.9)	0 (0.00)	8 (42.11)	132 (55.70)	10 (37.04)	1.52	2	0.47
Innovation resources, n=282	174 (61.7)	0 (0.00)	10 (52.63)	148 (62.98)	17 (62.96)	0.89	2	0.64
Innovation workshops, n=283	139 (49.1)	0 (0.00)	6 (31.58)	120 (50.85)	16 (59.26)	2.63	2	0.27

					16 (59.26)			
					13 (48.15)			

\*LCA 1 was removed from bivariate analysis due to small population size (n=2); \*\*Overall, there is a difference in the means but no difference in pairwise analysis; Tukey Test found differences in “How willing are you to implement innovation methodologies in your day-to-day work: a, LCA 3 vs 2 (p=0.001); b, LCA 4 vs 2 (p=<0.001).